

---

# EFFECT OF PLAYABILITY ON USER EXPERIENCE IN GAME "JOKO RUN"

Marisha Pertiwi\*, Riwinoto\*\*

\*Computer Science, Politeknik Negeri Batam

\*\* Multimedia and Networking, Politeknik Negeri Batam

[pertiwi.marisha@gmail.com](mailto:pertiwi.marisha@gmail.com)<sup>1</sup>, [riwi@polibatam.ac.id](mailto:riwi@polibatam.ac.id)<sup>2</sup>

---

## ABSTRACT

*Playability is the easiness of a game player in playing games, while user experience is a game players reference to the level of satisfaction of a game. Joko Run is endless runner game for android that is easy to play. The purpose of this study to find out the aspects of playability and user experience that must be fulfilled by game developers when designing a game and the influence of playability of the game on the level of user experience of the game "Joko Run". This study uses descriptive and verification methods, Based on the results of descriptive playability analysis and user experience obtained in the "Joko run" game it is easy. Based on the results of the verification analysis obtained the influence of playability on the user experience of 66,4%, that is strong. So, game players can play "Joko Run" easily without having to learn the pattern and use the game features smoothly, so that users feel the ease of playing the game and enhance the experience of the game player "Joko Run. A game that has easy playability tends to improve user experience, whereas if game playability is low, it can reduce user experience.*

---

## Keyword:

Playability,  
User Experience,  
Joko Run,  
Game

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, there are so many games popping up. Game lovers are very easy to get the game they want. Starting from mobile games to desktops. They can download the games for free and paid. Almost every device such as a computer, tablet, smartphone installed the games. They usually play games to fill their free time, some people even get addicted to the games.

One important issue of an interesting game is playability. According to Sanchez [1], playability refers to a game that can be easily played or not. Playability includes player experience, the quality of the game elements, artistic, esthetics and the way the elements of this game are run in video games. Eka Putra [2], said that an interesting game is not difficult and can meet the satisfaction to game lovers. It could be seen from the element of playability. Eka Putra [2] conducted analysis on two games, such as Cut the Rope and Subway Surfers based on the playability aspects of the game. There were gameplay, usability and mobility. The analysis results stated that nine playability found in Cut the Rop game and eight playability in the Subway Surfers game. Therefore, the element of playability could affect the ease of a game. It helped game developers and game designers to identify the problems in mobile games earlier to achieve game success.

Another issue that makes a game famous and successful in the market is user experience. According to ISO 9241-210 [3], user experience is someone's perception and response from the use of a system, product, and service. According to Gagas Pandusarani's research [4], stating user experience is important in the success of a game and can be used in finding the lack of appearance of the game, from the results of the study states that the user experience in the CS: GO game is said to be quite good. From the description above, the author found a game certainly has elements of playability so that players always play the game. It produces a high level of user experience. Joko Run is an endless run game that runs on the Android platform.

The game "Joko Run" played in a single player, this game was made in 2018 by Marisha Pertiwi as author, Winona Saraswaty and Odi Isya Winanda who are students in Politeknik Negeri Batam. The "Joko Run" game has similarities with the Subway Surfers or Temple Run game which are the best endless runner games for Android that easy to play. So the author wants to analyze the effect of playability on user

---

experience in "Joko Run" game that the author has made.

## **2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK**

Theoretical Framework contains exposure the independent variable (playability) and dependent variable (user experience).

### **A. Playability**

According to Sanchez [1], playability refers to a game that can be easily played or not. Playability includes player experience, the quality of the game elements, artistic, esthetics and the way the elements of this game are run in video games. In other words, the purpose of playability to measure and ensure an optimal player experience.

According to Sanchez [1], there are several indicators that determine the quality of playability of a game:

- 1) Satisfaction: attributes that very subjective because difficult to measure but affect the preferences and satisfaction of the player. The level satisfaction of players to complete video games or several aspects such as: mechanisms, graphics, user interfaces, stories, and others. Satisfaction for game elements such as characters, virtual worlds, challenges, and so on.
- 2) Learning ability: a facility to understand and master the game system and mechanics (goals, rules, ways to interact with video games, etc.). This attribute presents how to learn to play games.
- 3) Effectiveness: as certain user degrees (players) can achieve the proposed goals with precision and completeness in the context of using video games. It involves the resources needed to offer the players new experiences as they reach various game goals and the ultimate goal of the game.
- 4) Immersion: the capacity of video game content can be trusted, so the players become directly involved in the world of virtual games or feel like they are in a game. The characteristics of immersion include: awareness, preoccupation in the game, reality of the game, dexterity of control, and social-cultural closeness to the game.
- 5) Motivation: as a set of characteristics of the game that encourages players to be aware of specific actions and continue to be done to completion. The characteristics include: techniques to encourage players, curiosity about the game, player progress, and diversity of resources.
- 6) Emotions: the encouragement or response of the player to the stimulation of video games that causes feelings or a series of reactions from the behavior of game players automatically when playing games. Characteristics of emotions include: player reaction, game behavior and sensory attraction to the elements of the game.
- 7) Socialization: a collection of game attributes, elements and resources promoting the social dimension of game experience in groups. Characteristics of socialization include: social perceptions, group awareness, personal, social resource distribution, communication techniques, and game interaction rules.

### **B. User Experience**

According to Hartson-Pyla, user experience (UX) [4], the totality effect that users perceive as a result of interaction and the context of use of system, device, or product, including the influence of usability, usefulness, and emotional impact during the interaction.

According to Haris-Pyla, a good UX that must pay attention to four main points:

- 1) Usability: pragmatic components (practical and useful for the public) of UX, including effectiveness, efficiency, productivity, ease of use, ease of learning, resilience, and pragmatic aspects of user satisfaction.
- 2) Usefulness: System functionality provides the ability to complete the purpose of a game.
- 3) Functionality: the power to do the game with features and capabilities without regard to the user interface.
- 4) Emotional Impact: the affective component of UX that affects user feelings. These include the satisfaction, pleasure, pleasant use, aesthetics, likes, something new, originality, sensation, cool, promising, interesting, and can involve more emotional factors such as self-expression, identity, feeling of contribution to the world, and pride has.

## **3. RESEARCH METHOD**

This study uses a quantitative approach, uses data in the form of numbers which are then processed and drawn conclusions. The method used in this research is descriptive and verificative research method. According to Nazir [6], descriptive method is a method that examines a group of humans, a set of conditions of a system of thought or a class of events in the present to make descriptive, picture or painting systematic, factual and accurate about the facts, traits and relationships phenomena investigated. According to Nazir [7],

---

the verification method is a research method that aims to determine the causal relationship between variables through a hypothesis testing through a statistical calculation so that can be produced that shows the hypothesis is rejected or accepted. Descriptive method research is used to determine the aspects contained in playability and user experience, in other words to find out the easy and difficult aspects of the game "Joko Run", while the research method is verification used for answer the hypothesis that is knowing the influence of playability on the user experience.

#### A. Technique Data Collection

Data collection is obtained from questionnaires. It's the data collection techniques carried out by giving a set of questions to the respondent to answer.

The sample criteria or respondents in this study are:

- 1) Game groups / communities, students, students and private workers.
- 2) Samples totaling 30 people.
- 3) The sample consists of the age range 12-35 years.
- 4) Playing games for more than 1 hour or more every day.

The following table is a description of playability variables (X), along with indicators, size and scale used in the questionnaire statement based on the source of Sanchez (2009) can be seen in table I.

The following table is a description of playability variables (X), along with indicators, size and scale used in the questionnaire statement based on the source of Sanchez (2009) can be seen in table I.

Table I Indicator of Variable X

No.	Variable	Indicator	Criterion	Scale
X1	Playability	Satisfaction	I satisfied with the obstacles presented in the "Joko Run" game	Likert
X2	Playability	Satisfaction	I satisfied with the character presented in the "Joko Run" game	Likert
X3	Playability	Satisfaction	I satisfied with the mechanism, graphics and interface that presented in the "Joko Run" game	Likert
X4	Playability	Learning ability	I understand the patterns and strategy game "Joko Run" easily	Likert
X5	Playability	Learning ability	Instructions for controlling game characters on about menu help me complete game easily	Likert
X6	Playability	Learning ability	I easily master "Joko Run" game	Likert
X7	Playability	Effectiveness	I can play "Joko Run" game on my android	Likert
X8	Playability	Effectiveness	I easily understand the "Joko Run" game.	Likert
X9	Playability	Effectiveness	I managed to play the "Joko Run" game until it's finished.	Likert
X10	Playability	Immersion	I really feel like I'm in a game while playing the "Joko Run" game.	Likert
X11	Playability	Immersion	I could feel the audio and visual sensations of the graph while playing the "Joko Run" game.	Likert
X12	Playability	Immersion	I found it fun and forget the time playing games "Joko Run".	Likert
X13	Playability	Motivation	I felt focus when playing "Joko Run" game.	Likert
X14	Playability	Motivation	I motivated to complete the "Joko Run" game until it's finished.	Likert
X15	Playability	Motivation	I tried again "Joko Run" game if it's game over.	Likert
X16	Playability	Emotion	I addicted in playing the game "Joko Run".	Likert
X17	Playability	Emotion	I felt curious about the obstacles faced at the next level.	Likert
X18	Playability	Emotion	I feel annoyed if the game "Joko Run" over.	Likert
X19	Playability	Socialization	"Joko Run" game can be played by all players.	Likert
X20	Playability	Socialization	I can interact with friends using Facebook accounts or other social media.	Likert
X21	Playability	Socialization	I could share the results of playing the "Joko Run" game on social media.	Likert

The following table is a description of user experience variables (Y), along with indicators, sizes and scales used for the revelation of the questionnaire based on Hartson-Pyla (2012) sources can be seen in table I

Tabel II Indikator of Variabel Y

No.	Variable	Indicator	Criterion	Scale
Y1	User experience	Usability	I found it easy to learn the rules of the game and complete obstacle "Joko Run".	Likert
Y2	User experience	Usability	I could easily avoid obstacles in the "Joko Run" game.	Likert
Y3	User experience	Usability	I could pass levels and stages with a fast time.	Likert
Y4	User experience	Usefulness	I was able to play the "Joko Run" game until it was finished.	Likert
Y5	User experience	Usefulness	I as a player was able to get a 3 star reward at the end of the stage.	Likert
Y6	User experience	Usefulness	I was able to take all the boosters in the game	Likert
Y7	User experience	Functionality	The menu button in the "Joko Run" game is functioning smoothly.	Likert
Y8	User experience	Functionality	I used bottle booster items and capsules when stamina decreases.	Likert
Y9	User experience	Functionality	The feature in the "Joko Run" game is in accordance with the expectations and desires of the user	Likert
Y10	User experience	Emotional Impact	I felt something new and different from other games when playing the game "Joko Run".	Likert
Y11	User experience	Emotional Impact	I am happy and re-play the game "Joko Run".	Likert
Y12	User experience	Emotional Impact	I satisfied finish the obstacles found in the game "Joko Run".	Likert

#### A. Technique Data Processing

According to Sugiyono [8], data analysis activities are grouping data based on variables and types of respondents, tabulating data based on variables and types of respondents, presenting data for each variable under study, performing calculations to answer the problem formulation and test the hypothesis that has been proposed used by the IBM SPSS application, spss is one of the software used to perform a statistical analysis both accurately and quickly, the results of the analysis produce various types of output desired or in accordance with the objectives of the research itself, besides the information provided is more accurate, because SPSS itself provides information with give a reason code if there is a missing data. The following data processing scheme can be seen in Figure 1




Figure 1. Schema of Technique Data Processing

## 1. Descriptive Method

### 1) Descriptive Analysis

Descriptive analysis is used to analyze data by describing the data that has been collected without intending to make conclusions that apply to generalizations. Descriptive analysis in this study was used to determine the aspects of playability and user experience, so that they can know the playability and user experience aspects that are easy and difficult in the "Joko Run" game. It is known to use a range of scales.

According to Umar [9], the formula used to calculate the scale range:

$$Rk = \frac{n(m-1)}{m}$$

Formula [1]

n = number of samples

m = number of alternative options

Rk= Scale range

Based on this formula, we obtained a range of scales in this study as follow:

$$Rk = \frac{30(5-1)}{5}$$

Formula [2]

Furthermore, the range will get a range of scales between the comparison of actual scores and ideal scores contributed in table III.

Table III Criteria percentage of respondents against ideal score

No	Total score	Criteria	Result
1	30-54	Strongly disagree	Not easy
2	55-79	Disagree	Less easily
3	80-104	Neutral	Quite easy
4	105-129	Agree	Easy
5	130-154	Strongly agree	Very easy

Based on 7 indicators of playability variables (X) obtained 21 questionnaire items with the number of respondents 30 people, then obtained the ideal score / highest weight of the answer (21 x 30 x 5 = 3150). While 4 indicators of user experience variable (Y) ideal score / highest weight of the answer (12 x 30 x 5 = 1800).

## 1. Verificative Method

Verification research method is used to answer the hypothesis, knowing the effect of playability on user experience. Before testing the hypothesis using simple regression, the steps used are to test reliability, test the validity and normality to find out the instruments used are reliable, valid and data are normally distributed.

### 1) Reliability Test

According to Sugiyono [8], reliability is an instrument that used several times to measure the same object, will produce the same data. To measure the reliability of the indicators used in the research questionnaire using Cronbach alpha by the SPSS. Cronbach alpha reliability coefficient formula according to Sugiyono [8], as follows:

$$R_i = \frac{k}{(k-1)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\sum S_i^2}{S_t^2} \right\}$$

Formula [3]

$K$  = Mean of the square between subjects

$\sum S_i$  = Mean of square error

$S_{t^2}$  = Total Variant

Formula for total variants and item variants:

$$S_{t^2} = \frac{\sum x_{t^2}}{n} - \frac{\sum x_t^2}{n^2}$$

Formula [4]

$$S_{t^2} = \frac{JKi}{n} - \frac{JKs}{n^2}$$

Formula [5]

$JKi$  = Sum of square all item scores

$JKs$  = Sum of square subjects

An instrument can be reliable if the value of the cronbach alpha coefficient is more than 0.6. In addition, decision making in reliability testing are:

- If the cronbach alpha value is greater than r table, the questionnaire is declared reliable.
- If the Cronbach alpha value is smaller than r table, the questionnaire is declared unreliable.

## 2) Validity Test

According to Sugiyono [8], validity is the degree of accuracy between the data that occurs in the object of research and the power that can be reported by the researcher. Valid data is data that not different between the data reported by the researcher and the actual data that occurred on the object of the research. Test the validity of each item used analysis, which correlates the scores of each item with the total score which is the sum of each item score. In terms of analyzing this item, Sugiyono [8] states that correlation techniques to determine the validity of this item up to the most widely used techniques. Furthermore, in giving an interpretation of the correlation coefficient, Sugiyono stated that items have a positive correlation with the criteria (total score) and high correlation, indicate that the item has high validity as well.

Validity test of the instrument can use product moment correlation techniques according to Sugiyono [8], as follows:

$$r_{xy} = \frac{n \sum X_i \cdot Y_i - (\sum X_i) \cdot (\sum Y_i)}{\sqrt{\{n \cdot \sum X_i^2 - (n \cdot \sum X_i)^2 \cdot n \cdot \sum Y_i^2 - (n \cdot \sum Y_i)^2\}}}$$

Formula [6]

$n$  = Number of samples

$r_{xy}$  = Correlation Coefficient (r count)

$\sum X$  = Total of score for variable X question items

$\sum Y$  = Total of score for variable Y question items

According to Sugiyono, the minimum requirements to be considered as follows:

- If  $r$  is more than 0.3, the question items from the questionnaire are valid.
- If  $r$  is less than 0.3, the question items from the questionnaire are invalid.

Decision making in the validity test can be done in several ways as follow:

- Compare the value of r count with r table
  - If the calculated r value is greater than r table, the instrument item for the questionnaire is declared valid.
  - If the calculated r value is smaller than r table, the instrument item for the questionnaire is declared invalid.
- Compare the significance value (2-tailed) with a probability of 0.05
  - If the significance value (2 tailed) is less than 0.05 and the pearson correlation is positive, the instrument item is valid.
  - If the significance value (2-tailed) is less than 0.05 and the pearson correlation is negative, the

---

instrument item is invalid.

- c. If the significance value (2-tailed) is more than 0.05, the item about the questionnaire is invalid.

## 2) Data Normality Test

Normality test is a test to find out a regression model that is dependent variable, independent variable or both that have a normal distribution or not. Good regression model is normal or near normal data distribution. The normality test in this study uses the ShapiroWilk test. Shapiro Wilk is a method or formula for calculating the distribution of data made by Shapiro and Wilk. The Shapiro Wilk test is an effective and valid normality test used for small numbers of samples. Using the Shapiro Wilk test if the amount of data is less than 50. In calculating the normality test, this study uses data 30 samples. The calculation is done using Shapiro Wilk. To calculate the value of normality based on Shapiro Wilk, you can use the SPSS. Formula Shapiro Wilk as follows:

$$T_3 = \frac{1}{D} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i (X_{n-i+1} - x_i)^2 \right]$$

Formula [7]

D = Based on the formula below

ai = Shapiro Wilk test coefficient

X n-i+1 = Amount of n - i + 1 in the data

X i = Amount i in the data

Formula for D value as follows:

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - X^2$$

Formula [8]

Xi = Amount i in the data

X = Average data

Formula for the normal distribution value using G as follows:

$$G = b_n + c_n + 1n \left( \frac{T_3 - d_n}{1 - T_3} \right)$$

Formula [9]

G = Identical to the Z value / normal distribution value

T3 = Based on the formula above bn and cn

dn = Statistical conversion shapiro wilk normal distribution approach

Basic decision making in the normality test of Shapiro Wilk:

- If the significance value is more than 0.05, the data is normally distributed.
- If the significance value is less than 0.05, the data is not normally distributed.

## 3) Simple Regression Test

According to Riduwan [10], regression is a process of systematically estimating important things that may occur in the future based on past and present information that is owned so that errors can be minimized. One of the uses of regression in research is to predict or predict the dependent variable (Y) if the independent variable (X) is known. Simple regression can be analyzed because it is based on a functional relationship or causal relationship independent variable (X) to the dependent variable (Y).

The regression equation is formulated as follows:

$$\hat{Y} = a + bX$$

Formula [10]

---

$\bar{Y}$  = (read  $\bar{Y}$  Topi) subject of the dependent variable projected

X = independent variable that has a certain value to predict

a = The value of the price constant Y if X = 0

b = Direction values as determinants of predictions that indicate an increase in value (+) or a decrease in value (-) variable Y.

#### a) R dan R Square Test

According to Sugiyono [8], the correlation coefficient test (R Test) was used to find the relationship of one independent variable with one dependent variable. Determination coefficient is one of the statistical values that can be used to find out there is an influence relationship between two variables. Test of determination coefficient (R square) is to assess how much influence the independent variable (X) has on the dependent variable (Y) which is a correlation coefficient which is usually expressed as a percentage (%). In this study the correlation used is partial correlation, used to determine the strength of the relationship between the correlations of two variables where other variables are considered influential. The variables studied are interval data, the statistical technique used is the Pearson correlation product. According to Sugiyono [8] the determination of the correlation coefficient using the Pearson product moment correlation analysis method, then based on the guidelines to give an interpretation of the coefficient of correlation in table IV.

Table IV Guidelines for Providing Interpretations of Correlation Coefficient

Coefficient Interval	Relationship Level
0,00-0,199	Very low
0,20-0,399	Low
0,40-0,599	Fair
0,60-0,799	Strong
0,80-1,00	Very strong

#### b) T Test

T test to find out the rejection and acceptance of the hypothesis and the significance of the influence of variable on the dependent variable partially with a significance of 0,05 ( $\alpha = 5\%$ ).

Test criteria for t test are based on the comparison of t count and t table or can do a comparison of significance with  $\alpha$ .

##### 1) Based on t count:

- If the value of t count is greater than t table, there is the influence of the independent variable (X) on the dependent variable (Y) or the hypothesis is accepted.
- If the value of t count is smaller than t table, there is no effect of the independent variable (X) on the dependent variable (Y) or the hypothesis is rejected.

##### 2) Based on signification:

- If the significance is more than 0,05, there is no effect of the independent variable (X) on the variable (Y) the hypothesis is rejected
- If the significance is less than 0,05, there is the influence of the independent variable (X) on the variable (Y) the hypothesis is accepted.

## 4. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

### A. Descriptive Analysis Results

#### 1. Descriptive Analysis Results "Playability"

After analyzing the frequency and score of each indicator, the calculation of the total score and the percentage contribution of each indicator to variable playability. Total score will be calculated cumulatively and the average value. The results of processing for each statement of each playability variable are obtained in table V.

Table V Total Score and Percentage of Variable Playability Contributions

Amount of respondent	Variable X	Mean	Median	Sum	Percentage contributions
30	X1	2,90	3,00	8,7	3,80%
30	X2	3,77	4,00	113	4,93%
30	X3	3,63	4,00	109	4,76%
30	X4	4,20	4,00	126	5,50%
30	X5	4,03	4,00	121	5,28%



30	X6	3,70	4,00	111	4,85%
30	X7	3,57	4,00	107	4,67%
30	X8	4,13	4,00	124	5,41%
30	X9	4,00	4,00	120	5,24%
30	X10	3,77	4,00	113	4,93%
30	X11	3,80	4,00	114	4,98%
30	X12	3,23	3,00	97	4,24%
30	X13	3,97	4,00	119	5,20%
30	X14	3,87	4,00	116	5,07%
30	X15	3,87	4,00	116	5,07%
30	X16	3,33	3,00	100	4,37%
30	X17	4,13	4,00	124	5,41%
30	X18	3,70	4,00	111	4,85%
30	X19	4,10	4,00	123	5,37%
30	X20	2,30	2,00	69	3,01%
30	X21	2,33	2,00	70	3,06%
<b>Total</b>				<b>2290</b>	<b>72,70%</b>
<b>Ideal Score</b>				<b>3150</b>	
<b>Mean</b>				<b>109,05</b>	

The percentage of contributions obtained from total score of each indicator divided by total cumulative score and multiplied by 100%. The indicator that has a large contribution to the playability variable is learning ability found in item X4, based on table I, X4 is a statement "I understand the pattern of game play and strategy game "Joko Run" easily. The statement contributed 5,50%. The calculation results obtained a total cumulative score of 2290 with a percentage of 72,70%. The average score is 109,05 compared to the scale range, the fourth scale is 105-129 with easy information. In other words, game players can play "Joko Run" easily without having to learn the strategies and patterns of the game.

## 2. Descriptive Analysis Results "User Experience"

User experience variable (Y) in the study is the dependent variable or dependent variable. Descriptive analysis is used to describe the results of the user experience score obtained through the distribution of questionnaires with the scores of each statement as shown in table VI.

Table VI Total Score and Percentage of User Experience Variable Contributions

Amount of respondent	Variabel Y	Mean	Median	Sum	Percentage contributions
30	Y1	3,87	4,00	116	8,77%
30	Y2	3,83	4,00	115	8,69%
30	Y3	3,53	4,00	106	8,01%
30	Y4	3,90	4,00	117	8,84%
30	Y5	3,03	3,00	91	6,88%
30	Y6	3,20	3,00	96	7,26%
30	Y7	4,07	4,00	122	9,22%
30	Y8	4,00	4,00	120	9,07%
30	Y9	3,63	4,00	109	8,24%
30	Y10	3,63	4,00	109	8,24%
30	Y11	3,53	3,00	106	8,01%
30	Y12	3,87	4,00	116	8,77%
<b>Total</b>				<b>1323</b>	<b>73,50</b>
<b>Ideal Score</b>				<b>1800</b>	
<b>Mean</b>				<b>110,25</b>	

The percentage of contributions obtained from total score of each indicator divided by total cumulative score and multiplied by 100%. The indicator that has a large contribution to the user experience variable is learning ability found in item Y7, based on table II, X4 is a statement " The menu button in the "Joko Run" game is functioning smoothly. The statement contributed 9,22%. The calculation results obtained a total cumulative score of 1800 with a percentage of 73.50%. The average score is 110,25 compared to the scale range, the fourth scale is 105-129 with easy information. Game players can use the "Joko Run" game feature

smoothly, so that users feel the ease of playing games and improve the experience of "Joko Run" game players.

## B. Verification Analysis Results

### 1. Reliability Test Results

After reliability testing by looking at the cronbach alpha value using SPSS, the results of the reliability test of playability (X) on user experience (Y) can be seen in table VII and VIII.

Table VII Test Result for Variabel Playability Reliability

Cronbach Alpha Value	Amount of Variable Questionnaire Items X	Result
0,906	21	Reliable

An instrument said to be reliable if the value of the alpha cronbach coefficient is greater than 0,6. Based on the results of data processing using SPSS for the reliability test results of the playability variable, the cronbach alpha value is 0,906, the value is compared with the r table with  $df = (N-2)$ ,  $df = (30-2)$ , searched for the r value distribution table at 5% significance then obtained r table value of 0,361, because the cronbach alpha value of 0,906 is more than 0,361, it can be said that the instrument used in this playability variable is reliable.

Table VIII Test Result for Variabel User Experience Reliability

Cronbach Alpha Value	Amount of Variable Questionnaire Items Y	Result
0,864	12	Reliable

An instrument said to be reliable if the value of the alpha cronbach coefficient is greater than 0,6. Based on the results of data processing using SPSS for the reliability test results of user experience, the cronbach alpha value of 0,864 obtained, the value compared the r table with  $df = (N-2)$ ,  $df = (30-2)$ , searched for the value distribution r table at 5% significance, then obtained r table value of 0,361, because the value of Cronbach alpha 0,864 is more than 0,361, it can be said that the instrument used in this user experience variable is reliable.

### 2. Validity Test Results

#### a. Validity Test Results "Playability"

Based on the results of the validity test in SPSS, it is known that the r value of the playability variable (X) for item 1 is 0,444, item 2 is 0,424, item 3 is 0,626, item 4 is 0,500, item 5 is 0,529, item 6 is 0,523, item 7 is 0,543, item 8 is 0,583, item 9 is 0,500, item 10 is 0,497, item 11 is 0,625, item 12 is 0,688, item 13 is 0,729, item 14 is 0,611, item 15 is 0,481, item 16 is 0,481, item 16 is 0,679, item 17 is 0,749, item 18 is 0,386, item 19 is 0,460, item 20 is 0,414 and item 21 is 0,428, based on the validity test conditions if r count is more than 0,3, the results of this study indicate if the questionnaire item is more than 0,3, meaning that the playability questionnaire items used in this study are valid. Based on table r for  $N = 30$  at a significance of 5% it is found that the r table value is 0.361, meaning items 1 to 21 are valid, because r count is greater than r table. Then for the significance value (2-tailed) the playability variable showing items 1 through 21 seen in table IX is valid. Significance (2-tailed) is smaller than 0,05 and Pearson correlation is positive, then the instrument item is valid. The following results of the validity test of the playability variable using SPSS can be seen in table IX.

Table IX Test Results for Variable Playability Validity

Variable	Questionnaire Item	Pearson Correlations	Sig. (2-tailed)	Correlation Coefficient (r count)	R Product Moment (r table)	Result
Playability	X1	0,497	0,005	0,444	0,361	Valid
Playability	X2	0,494	0,005	0,424	0,361	Valid
Playability	X3	0,677	0,000	0,626	0,361	Valid
Playability	X4	0,553	0,002	0,500	0,361	Valid

Playability	X5	0,584	0,001	0,529	0,361	Valid
Playability	X6	0,588	0,001	0,523	0,361	Valid
Playability	X7	0,617	0,000	0,543	0,361	Valid
Playability	X8	0,634	0,000	0,583	0,361	Valid
Playability	X9	0,556	0,001	0,500	0,361	Valid
Playability	X10	0,558	0,001	0,497	0,361	Valid
Playability	X11	0,673	0,000	0,625	0,361	Valid
Playability	X12	0,718	0,000	0,688	0,361	Valid
Playability	X13	0,760	0,000	0,729	0,361	Valid
Playability	X14	0,660	0,000	0,611	0,361	Valid
Playability	X15	0,544	0,000	0,481	0,361	Valid
Playability	X16	0,724	0,000	0,679	0,361	Valid
Playability	X17	0,778	0,000	0,749	0,361	Valid
Playability	X18	0,454	0,012	0,386	0,361	Valid
Playability	X19	0,516	0,004	0,460	0,361	Valid
Playability	X20	0,476	0,008	0,414	0,361	Valid
Playability	X21	0,506	0,004	0,428	0,361	Valid

#### b. Validity Test Result “User Experience”

The following results of the validity test of the *user experience* variable using SPSS can be seen in table X.

Table X Test Results for Variable User Experience Validity

Variable	Questionnaire Item	Pearson Correlations	Sig. (2-tailed)	Correlation Coefficient (r count)	R Product Moment (r table)	Result
User Experience	Y1	0,734	0,000	0,670	0,361	Valid
User Experience	Y2	0,626	0,000	0,542	0,361	Valid
User Experience	Y3	0,581	0,001	0,463	0,361	Valid
User Experience	Y4	0,433	0,017	0,330	0,361	Valid
User Experience	Y5	0,571	0,001	0,461	0,361	Valid
User Experience	Y6	0,529	0,003	0,414	0,361	Valid
User Experience	Y7	0,702	0,000	0,623	0,361	Valid
User Experience	Y8	0,774	0,000	0,713	0,361	Valid
User Experience	Y9	0,630	0,000	0,544	0,361	Valid
User Experience	Y10	0,676	0,000	0,598	0,361	Valid
User Experience	Y11	0,700	0,000	0,630	0,361	Valid
User Experience	Y12	0,687	0,000	0,606	0,361	Valid

Based on the results of the validity test in SPSS, the value of *r* calculated user experience variable (Y) for item 1 is 0,670, item 2 is 0,542, item 3 is 0,463, item 4 is 0,330, item 5 is 0,461, item 6 is 0,414, item 7 is amounting to 0,623, item 8 is 0,713, item 9 is 0,544, item 10 is 0,598, item 11 is 0,630 and item 12 is 0,606. Based on the validity test conditions if *r* count more than 0,3, the results of this study indicate if the questionnaire items are more than 0,3, it means that the items in the user experience questionnaire used in this study are valid. Based on table *r* for *N* = 30 at significance 5 % found *r* table value of 0.361, meaning items 1 to 12 are valid, because *r* count is greater than *r* table. The significance value (2-tailed) user experience variable shows the instrument number 1 to 12 is valid. Significance (2-tailed) is smaller than 0,05 and pearson

correlation is positive, then the instrument item is valid.

### 3. Data Normality Test Results

The following results of Shapiro Wilk value from the normality test using SPSS are shown in table XI.

Table XI Data Normality Test Result Using Shapiro Wilk

	Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.
$\Sigma x$	.946	30	.134
$\Sigma y$	.945	30	.125

Based on the results of the normality test the data in SPSS, it is known that the significance of x is 0,134 and the significance of y is 0,125, it is concluded that the data tested are normally distributed because the significance value is more than 0,05.

### 4. Simple Regression Test Results

The following results of a simple regression test are shown in table XII.

Table XII Simple Regression Test Results

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients	
		B	Std. Error
1	(Constant)	3.478	5.508
	$\Sigma x$	.532	.072

From the regression equation table can be written:

Conclusion:

- Constant = 3,478  
The constant value is 3,478, meaning that if the playability variable is equal to 0, the value of the user experience variable will be 3,478.
- Playability (X) = 0,532  
Is the regression coefficient value of playability variable (X) to user experience (Y) means that if playability (X) has a one unit increase, the user experience (Y) will increase by 0.532 (53.2%) coefficient is positive meaning between playability (X) and user experience (Y) have a positive relationship. Increase in playability (X) will result in an increase in user experience (Y).

#### a. R and R Square Test Results

Results obtained from the R test as in table XIII.

Table XIII R and R Square Test Result

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.815 <sup>a</sup>	.664	.652	3.801

From the table above explains the magnitude of the correlation value (R) that is equal to 0,815, because the correlation value is between 0,80 - 1,000 (very strong) based on table 3.5 guidelines to provide interpretation of the correlation coefficient, it can be concluded that there is a very strong relationship between playability to user experience.

Explained the magnitude of the influence of independent variable on the dependent variable called the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) of 0,664, the number implies that the influence of independent variables (playability) on the dependent variable (user experience) with a percentage of 66,4% while the rest is influenced by other variables. The magnitude of the influence of other variables is also referred to as error (e) is 3,801.

The magnitude of the coefficient of determination or R square generally ranges from 0 to 1. It is known that the value of R square in this study is 0,664, the influence of playability on user experience is close to 1, the correlation between the two variables is strong and unidirectional, based on table IV guidelines for giving interpretation of correlation coefficient is between 0,60-0,799 (strong), the conclusion is the influence of the independent variable (playability) on the dependent variable (user experience) is strong, So, a game that has

easy playability tends to increase the user experience, conversely if It is known that the low playability of a game can reduce user experience.

#### a. T Test Results

T test to find out the rejection and acceptance of the hypothesis and significance that influence of playability on the user experience partially with a significance of 0,05 ( $\alpha = 5\%$ ). Results obtained in the t test as in table XIV.

Table XIV T Test Result

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
1 (Constant)	3.478	5.508		.631	.533
$\Sigma x$	.532	.072	.815	7.434	.000

The test results are as follows:

1) Hypotesis

H0: Playability doesn't affect the user experience of "Joko Run" game.

H1: Playability affect the user experience of "Joko Run" game.

2) Significance

The level of significance used is 0,05 ( $\alpha = 5\%$ )

3) Value T count

Based on the table above, t value calculated from the test through SPSS is 7,434.

4) Value T table

The t distribution table is sought at  $\alpha = 5\%$  with degrees of freedom (dk)  $n-2$  or  $30-2 = 28$  (n is the amount of respondents), can be seen from the distribution of the t table attached value is 2,048, or it can be two-sided testing , through the formula in Microsoft Excel by way of an empty cell type = tinv (0,05,28) then press enter. The results obtained for t table are 2,048.

a. Criteria testing

- If the value of t count is greater than t table, there is the influence of the independent variable (X) on the dependent variable (Y) or the hypothesis is accepted.
- If the value of t count is smaller than t table, there is no effect of the independent variable (X) on the dependent variable (Y) or the hypothesis is rejected.

b. The results of the comparison t count with t table and significance with  $\alpha$

The value of t count is greater than the table ( $7,434 > 2,048$ ), the significance value is less than the value of  $\alpha$  ( $0,000 < 0,05$ ) so the hypothesis is accepted.

So, because t count is greater than t table ( $7,434 > 2,048$ ), the hypothesis is accepted, meaning that the variable playability affects the user experience variable.

## 5. CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the research and discussion that has been carried out and tabulated the results of the questionnaire, conclusions can be drawn that:

1. Game players can play "Joko Run" easily without having to learn the strategies and patterns of the game. This can be seen from the average obtained at 109,05, in the range of 105-129, which is easy.
2. Game players can use the "Joko Run" game feature smoothly, so that users feel the ease of playing games and improve the experience of "Joko Run" game players. This can be seen from the average obtained at 110,25, in a scale of 105- 129 which is easy.
3. There is an influence of playability on the user experience game "Joko Run". A game that has easy playability tends to increase user experience on the contrary if the playability of a game is low then it decreases the user experience. This can be seen from the coefficient of determination obtained at 0,664 or 66,4%, based on the interpretation table the coefficient is between 0,60-0,799 which is strong.

---

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author expresses his gratitude to those who have helped the author provide constructive input, suggestions and criticism in the process of carrying out this final assignment journal.

## REFERENCES

- [1] González Sánchez, J. L., Gutiérrez Vela, F. L., & Zea, N. P., “Playability: How to Identify the Player Experience in a Video Game. *Conference Paper*”, *IFIP International Federation for Information Processing*, pp. 56–359, 2009.
  - [2] Putra, B. E., Sabaraiah, M. K., & Munajat, B., “Evaluasi Playability pada Mobile Games Menggunakan Teknik Playability Heuristic Playability Evaluation in Mobile Games Using Playability Heuristic Technique”, *e- Proceeding of Engineering*, pp.1381-1388, 2015.
  - [3] International Standards Office (ISO), Ergonomic Requirements for Office Work with Visual Display Terminal (VDTs) – Part 11: Guidance on Usability. Electronic documents. Geneva: ISO, pp. 9241 – 11, 1998.
  - [4] Pandusarani, G., Brata, A. H., & Jonemaro, E. M. Analisis User Experience Pada Game CS:GO dengan Menggunakan Metode Cognitive Walkthrough dan Metode Heuristic Evaluation. *Pengembangan Teknologi Informasi dan Ilmu Komputer*, pp. 940-950, 2018.
  - [5] Hartson, R., & Pyla, P, *The UX Book : Process and Guidelines for Ensuring a Quality User Experience*. United States of America: Morgan Kaufmann, 2012.
  - [6] Nazir, *Metode Penelitian*. Bogor: Ghalia Indonesia; 2013.
  - [7] Nazir, *Metode Penelitian*. Bogor: Ghalia Indonesia; 2011.
  - [8] Sugiyono, *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif Kualitatif dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta; 2014.
  - [9] Umar, H, *Metode Penelitian Untuk Skripsi dan Tesis Bisnis*. Jakarta: PT. Raja Grafindo Persada; 2005.
  - [10] Riduan, *Belajar Mudah Penelitian untuk Guru-Karyawan dan Peneliti Pemula*. Bandung: Alfabeta; 2013.
-